

REMARKS

The application includes claims 1, 4, 6-17, 19, 22-27, and 29-32 prior to entering this amendment.

The applicant amends claims 1, 6, 9, 12, 13, 15, 19, and cancels claims 8, 23, and 24 without prejudice.

The application includes claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9-17, 19, 22, 25-27, and 29-32 after entering this amendment.

The applicant does not add new matter and respectfully requests reconsideration.

Claim Rejections Under § 112

The examiner rejected claims 1, 4, 6-17, 19, 22-27, and 29-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

The examiner rejected claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Claim 1 recites *wherein the data type is configured to identify a type of ancillary information that is descriptive of the audio signal and wherein the transmission mode is configured to identify a mode in which to encode the audio signal and the digitally encoded speech such that the digitally encoded speech is announced after decoding responsive to the transmission mode*. The examiner indicated that the above-identified language “was not supported in the specification nor in any claim originary [sic] presented and in any figures.”¹ The applicant respectfully disagrees.

With regard to the recited *wherein the data type is configured to identify a type of ancillary information that is descriptive of the audio signal*, the specification discloses that “[u]nfortunately, important information provided on the compressed audio track or playlist track display is not available to the driver. This information may include song titles, artist names, genre and sometimes lyrics, that are often encoded in data tracks.”² The specification further discloses that an embodiment in which “...the artist name, the song title and album (if available) are transmitted and displayed on the FM radio screen”³ and an embodiment in which “...the user [can] quickly scroll through titles and playlists (displayed on the FM radio screen) to choose the

¹ Office action mailed 3/31/2010, page 4.

² Specification, paragraph [0004].

next selection by transmitting commands to the CD player that would be used by the stand-alone CD player to perform the same functions.”⁴ “According to yet another exemplary embodiment of the invention, the text information can be annunciated prior to the start of the song (or any time the driver/user chooses to by pressing one of the buttons on the remote control). This embodiment of the invention may be used with a conventional FM receiver, that is to say, one without RDS capability. In this embodiment, audio annunciation files are created when the CD or CDMP3 is created and are stored in short compressed audio files that are tagged and placed in front of the music files (or appended to the end of the file). In this embodiment, the files may be compressed in the MP3 or WMA format (or other formats). In another embodiment of the invention, the CD or CD-MP3 processor includes software that implements a text-to speech process (annunciation process) and the resulting speech data is transmitted to the FM receiver as a conventional FM signal. It is contemplated that sets of the resulting speech data (e.g. all titles on the CD) may be concatenated and provided as a single unit allowing a user to select a music file corresponding to an item of the set by pressing a selection key on the remote control while the item is being annunciated.”⁵ Finally, the specification discloses that “...the CD or CD-MP3 player may have access to the titles, artists, lyrics or other text data concerning the music tracks.”⁶ It should be apparent from at least the portions reproduced above, that the specification indeed does disclose the recited *data type that is configured to identify a type of ancillary information ... descriptive of the audio signal, e.g., “titles, artists, lyrics, other text data concerning the music tracks.”*⁷

With regard to the recited *wherein the transmission mode is configured to identify a mode in which to encode the audio signal and the digitally encoded speech such that the digitally encoded speech is annunciated after decoding responsive to the transmission mode*, the specification discloses that “... a user selects what type of data is to be sent (e.g. just the titles) and how the data is to be sent (e.g. as an audio table of contents in a selection mode or immediately prior to or after each music track is played). At step 522, an annunciation process converts the selected data to speech. At step 524, the annunciated data is combined with the PCM digital audio data as selected by the user in step 520 and the combined signal is encoded as

³ Specification, paragraph [0023].

⁴ Specification, paragraph [0024].

⁵ Specification, paragraph [0025].

⁶ Specification, paragraph [0037].

an FM stereo signal at step 526, using an FM stereo encoding process, as described, for example, in the above referenced patent. The output signal provided by step 526 is a digital base-band FM radio signal that may be applied to the D/A and filter 320 and transmitter 210, as described above.”⁸ And the specification discloses that “...the text information can be annunciated prior to the start of the song (or any time the driver/user chooses to by pressing one of the buttons on the remote control).... audio annunciation files are created when the CD or CDMP3 is created and are stored in short compressed audio files that are tagged and placed in front of the music files (or appended to the end of the file).... It is contemplated that sets of the resulting speech data (e.g. all titles on the CD) may be concatenated and provided as a single unit allowing a user to select a music file corresponding to an item of the set by pressing a selection key on the remote control while the item is being annunciated.”⁹ Here the recited *transmission mode* refers to the manner in which the text data is sent and then annunciated, e.g., “immediately prior to or after each music track is played.”¹⁰ Also, the claim recites that *the digitally encoded speech is annunciated after decoding responsive to the transmission mode*, e.g., “as an audio table of contents in a selection mode or immediately prior to or after each music track is played” or “...sets of the resulting speech data (e.g. all titles on the CD) may be concatenated and provided as a single unit allowing a user to select a music file corresponding to an item of the set by pressing a selection key on the remote control while the item is being annunciated.”¹¹

For these reasons, the applicant asks the examiner to reconsider and withdraw his claim rejections under § 112.

Claim Rejections Under § 103 And Interview Summary

The examiner rejected claims 1, 9-12, 19, 22, 23, 31, and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Johnson et al. (U.S. patent 6,782,239) in view of Lee (U.S. patent 6,025,553).

The examiner rejected claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Johnson in view of Lee ‘553 and Zhang (U.S. patent 6,295,362).

The examiner rejected claims 6-8 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Johnson in view of Lee ‘553 and Anderson (U.S. patent 5,721,783).

⁷ Specification, paragraph [0037].

⁸ Specification, paragraph [0037].

⁹ Specification, paragraph [0025].

¹⁰ Specification, paragraph [0037].

The examiner rejected claims 13, 14, 17, 26, 27, 29, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Yang et al. (U.S. patent 6,374,177) in view of Lee (U.S. patent 6,374,177).

The examiner rejected claims 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Yang in view of Lee '177 and Grimes (U.S. patent 6,377,822).

The examiner rejected claim 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Yang in view of Lee '177 and Johnson.

The applicant respectfully disagrees for at least the reasons that follow.

In a telephonic interview on 6/3/2010, examiner Lao and the undersigned discussed Johnson and Lee. Examiner Lao suggested that adding the language of claim 8 into independent claim 1 would overcome the claim rejections over the references of record. Examiner Lao further suggested that similar changes to independent claims 13 and 19 would likewise overcome the claim rejections over the references of record. The applicants have amended independent claims 1, 13, and 19 and canceled claims 8, 23, and 24, as suggested by examiner Lao.

For at least these reasons, independent claims 1, 13, and 19 are in condition for the examiner's allowance. Claims 4, 6, 7, 9-12, 14-17, 22, 25-27, and 29-32 are also in condition for the examiner's allowance at least because of their dependence on independent claims 1, 13, and 19.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, the applicant submits that claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9-17, 19, 22, 25-27, and 29-32 are in condition for allowance. The applicant encourages the examiner to call if a conference would advance prosecution.

Customer No. 73552

Respectfully submitted,

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP



Graciela G. Cowger
Reg. No. 42,444

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP
621 SW Morrison Street, Suite 600
Portland, OR 97205
503-224-2170 ext. 203

¹¹ Specification, paragraphs [0025] and [0037].